

Using the Executor Framework to Implement AEH in the RTSJ

MinSeong Kim & Andy Wellings

Table of Contents

► Role of AEH in the RTSJ

► AEH Facility in the RTSJ

▶ Implementation Discussion

- ▶ Limitations of AEH
- ► The Executor Framework

▶ Applying the Framework to AEH in the RTSJ

► Conclusions

Role of AEH in the RTSJ



- Event-based programming
 - An alternative to thread-based programming
- AEH is used to handle the followings:
 - a. External events to model parallelism with external objects
 - hardware interrupts, OS signals (**SIGALRM**), etc.
 - b. Asynchronous error conditions detected by RT-JVM
 - a deadline miss or a cost overrun
 - c. Application-defined error notification
 - a general error notification or a fault-handling mechanism
 - d. Time-triggered events
 - periodic action or scheduled execution
 - OneShotTimer and PeriodicTimer



AEH Facility in the RTSJ

- AsyncEvent (AE)
- AsyncEventHandler (ASEH)
- BoundAsyncEventHandler (BoundASEH) extends AsyncEventHandler

Implementation Discussion

- RTTs & ASEHs are both Schedulable Objects,
- **RTTs** provide the vehicles for the execution,
- ASEHs are designed to be used as a *lightweight concurrency mechanism*,
- RTSJ does not provide any guidelines

• Major challenges

- An efficient and predictable AEH implementation model
- A smaller number of real-time server threads than the number of handlers

Limitations of AEH



- Lack of implementation configurability
 - The RTSJ does not provide any configurable facilities to finely tune the components of AEH
- A single model for all types of non-bound asynchronous event handlers
 - All asynchronous events must be handled in the same implementation-dependent way
 - Not possible for an application to indicate a different AEH implementation strategy for various handlers with different characteristics (blocking or non-blocking , heap-using or no-heap, hard or soft real-time handlers, and etc.,)
- These limitations of AEH in the RTSJ severely weaken the configurability and the flexibility of the AEH implementation

The Executor Framework



- In the java.util.concurrent package.
- Provides simple standardized extensible classes which provide useful functionality for using Java threads to control the execution of asynchronous tasks.
- It is extremely *useful* and *convenient* as a configurable server pool:

ThreadPoolExecutor (int corePoolSize,
<pre>int maximumPoolSize,</pre>
long keepAliveTime,
TimeUnit unit,
BlockingQueue <runnable> workQueue,</runnable>
ThreadFactory threadFactory,
RejectedExecutionHandler handler)

 Therefore it is a good idea to use the executor framework for the execution of ASEHs in the RTSJ

Applying the Executor Framework



- Three Major Issues to Consider
 - 1. Use of Real-Time Threads
 - By default, executors use a thread factory that creates normal Java threads
 - 2. Use of a Priority Queue
 - Any blocking queue can be used but they use a FIFO ordering
 - A priority queue must be used as a pending handler queue
 - 3. Reflecting Submitted Handlers' Priorities protected void beforeExecute(Thread t, Runnable r) protected void afterExecute(Runnable r, Throwable t)
- These solutions enable the executor framework to work well with AEH in the RTSJ



Conclusions

- Using the configurability and the flexibility of the Executor Framework
 - \diamond Static 1:1 mapping
 - Bound ASEHs and non-bound ASEHs in OVM
 - ♦ Dynamic 1:1 mapping
 - The RI and jRate
 - \diamond Static 1:N mapping
 - Jamaica
 - ♦ Dynamic 1:N mapping
 - Java RTS 2.0, and blocking and non-blocking AEH models
 - Not with the default run-time behavior
- Other mapping models for various ASEHs with different characteristics
 - \diamond Hard and soft real-time handlers
 - \diamond Heap and no-heap using handlers
 - \diamond Daemon and non-daemon handlers
- Therefore it provides the programmer with an extremely configurable and flexible environment



Thank you (Q & A)