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Verification approaches

- Model checking programs
  - Explicit state (Java Pathfinder)
  - Abstraction-based (CEGAR, ...)
- Symbolic execution (concolic testing)
- Deductive methods (Spec#/Boogie)
- Static analysis (data-flow, pointers)
- Abstract interpretation
- Dynamic analysis (runtime)

- Classical testing (e.g., JUnit)
Evaluation

• Advantages
  ▪ Model checking
    • path-sensitive, very precise, does not scale well (state explosion)
  ▪ Static analysis
    • explores all program behaviors, limited precision, highly scalable

• Limitations
  ▪ Abstraction-based model checking and deductive methods
    • Problem with concurrency (limited support for threads)
    • Very good at checking properties related to data values
  ▪ Explicit state model checking
    • Supports threads well (detecting concurrency errors)
    • Does not handle data non-determinism very well
Categories

- **Search for errors**
  - testing, symbolic execution, dynamic analysis

- **Search for proofs**
  - program model checking, deductive methods
Search for errors

- Program executed concretely on many inputs
  - Finds only real errors
  - Achieves small coverage

- Abstract execution tracking only some facts
  - Covers all the program paths
  - Reports many false positives

- Intermediate solutions
  - Example: directed concolic testing
Search for proofs

- Goal: find the safe over-approximation

- Model checking: reachable state space
- Deductive methods: inductive invariant

- Limitations
  - Verification procedure might not terminate
  - State explosion (many thread interleavings)

- Recent solutions: CEGAR
Bonus topics

- Combining tests and program verification
- Detecting some bugs in web applications
- Program termination and checking liveness
- Program synthesis: overview, current state
Combining tests and verification

- Search for errors and proofs at the same time
- Using results of one search also in the other

Example: SYNERGY

x = 0;

while (x < 1000) {
    x = x + 1;
}

assert (x > 1000);
Checking dynamic web applications

- Dynamic programming languages
  - Features: dynamically typed programs, eval()
- Implicit input parameters (GET, POST)
- Persistent state (database, cookies)
- Complex patterns of user interactions
- On-the-fly generating of source code
- Control flows through the HTML pages
  - forms, buttons, input events (keyboard, mouse)
Example: Apollo

Example program

```php
<?php
    if (!isset($_GET['step'])) $step = 1;
    else $step = $_GET['step'];
    if ($_GET['login'] == 1) validateAuth();
    switch ($step) {
        case 1: require('login.php'); break;
        case 2: require('news.php'); break;
        case 3: require('inbox.php'); break;
        default: die("wrong input!");
    }
?>
```
Convergence

- Classic model checking
  - Program model: abstract reachability tree
  - Path-sensitive: never joins different paths

- Static program analysis
  - Program model: control flow graph (inter-proc)
  - Path-insensitive: losing precision at join points
Generalization

- Abstract domain
- Transfer functions
- Merge operator
- Termination check

- Based on this research paper