

# Metrics for Performance Advertisement

Vojtěch Horký

Peter Libič

Petr Tůma

2010 – 2021

This work is licensed under a “CC BY-NC-SA 3.0” license. Created to support the Charles University Performance Evaluation lecture. See <http://d3s.mff.cuni.cz/teaching/performance-evaluation> for details.

## Contents

<b>1 Overview</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2 Operation Frequency Related Metrics</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>3 Operation Duration Related Metrics</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>4 Benchmark Workloads</b>	<b>4</b>

## 1 Overview

### Performance Advertisement

Measuring for the purpose of publishing performance information.

Requirements:

- Well defined meaning.
- Simple to understand.
- Difficult to game.

Pitfalls:

- Publication makes results subject to pressure.
- Often too simple to convey meaningful information.
- Performance in contemporary computer systems is never simple.

### Speed Related Metrics

#### Responsiveness Metrics

- Time (*Task Response Time*)
- How long does it take to finish the task ?

#### Productivity Metrics

- Rate (*Task Throughput*)
- How many tasks can the system complete per time unit ?

#### Utilization Metrics

- Resource Use (*Utilization*)
- How much is the system loaded when working on a task ?
- Share of time a resource is busy or over given load level.
- Helps identify bottlenecks (most utilized resources in the system).

### Metric for Webmail Performance

- What metric would you choose to characterize performance of a web mail site ?
- User oriented metric would be end-to-end operation time.
  - Server oriented metric would be request processing time.
  - How about metrics in between ?
  - And would you include mail delivery time ?

How is throughput related to latency ?

How is utilization defined for various resources ?

## 2 Operation Frequency Related Metrics

### Clock Rate

Clock rate (frequency) of the component (CPU, bus, memory) in MHz. Most often we talk about CPU frequency.

#### Not Reliable

CPU with higher frequency does not run all applications faster.

- Ignores IPC.
- Ignores how much of the work done is actually used (speculative execution, pipelining ...).
- Ignores that CPU might not be a bottleneck of an application.

#### Not Repeatable

Clock rate is not constant on many platforms.

- Dynamic frequency scaling.
  - CPU can run on lower frequency to save energy and heat.
  - CPU can boost frequency to give more performance online.
- This can sometimes be monitored or adjusted.

### MIPS

Millions of instructions executed per second. Defined for a given instruction mix.

**Gibson Mix (IBM)** for scientific applications 34% int math, 13% float math, etc.

**Whetstone Mix** for floating point computations

**Dhrystone Mix** for system programming

#### Not Linear, Not Reliable, Not Consistent

- Results depend on the code executed and cannot be generalised.
- With the same code, instructions on different platforms do different amount of work:
  - RISC** simple instructions, more needed
  - CISC** complex instructions, fewer needed

### MFLOPS

Millions of floating point operations executed per second. Assumes certain similarity for basic floating point operations.

#### Not Reliable

Makes only sense when floating point operations are the major factor of performance (scientific computing).

#### Not Independent

Different platforms support different operations:

- Division sometimes directly supported, sometimes implemented using other operations (Cray, Itanium).
- Sin, Cos, Log sometimes single operation, sometimes look up and approximations (Taylor).

- Are these single or multiple operations ?
- Interpretation prone to marketing games.

### 3 Operation Duration Related Metrics

#### Wall Clock Time

```
start = get_real_time ();
// run the operation of interest
end = get_real_time ();
return (end - start);
```

Operation time that would have been measured by a person with a stop watch.

#### Pros

- Very intuitive metric in units everyone understands.
- Reliable – for representative benchmarks.
- Consistent – seconds are the same with all systems.
- Independent – if the benchmarks are not optimized against.

#### Cons

- Only applies to a particular operation (usually generalized using benchmarks).
- Typically sensitive to background load:
  - Non random load (scheduled tasks) can bias the results.
  - Random load is not easily reproducible.
  - Realistic background load might make sense, but must be made part of controlled experiment.

Also think about exact operation boundaries:

- User oriented metrics would prefer end-to-end times:
  - From click to end of page rendering.
  - From application launch to result display.
- Developer oriented metrics would prefer measuring within single domain:
  - Separate communication time, queueing time, processing time.
  - Separate data load and save time from computation time.

#### Processor Time

```
start = get_thread_consumed_time ();
// run the operation of interest
end = get_thread_consumed_time ();
return (end - start);
```

Aggregate work time that would have been reported by workers working in parallel.

#### Pros

- Counts only actually consumed time.
- Can distinguish kernel time and user time.

#### Cons

- Possibly low precision (depends on accounting mechanism).
- Does not include necessary waiting (I/O, synchronization).
- Still may be affected by background load (caches, TLB, memory).

A possible compromise is to collect both processor and wall clock time.

Think about processor to wall clock time ratio:

- High ratio indicates high parallelism.
- Low ratio indicates blocking.

## 4 Benchmark Workloads

### Standard Benchmarks

Report performance of a well known (standardized) benchmark. The question is who should standardize such benchmarks.

#### Industrial Standards

Benchmarks developed through cooperation between multiple vendors. Focus on transparent process and fair comparison. For example SPEC or TPC benchmarks.

#### Research Standards

Benchmarks developed for evaluating research results. Focus on insight into particular research topic. For example DaCapo or NPB benchmarks.

#### Popular Standards

Benchmarks developed often for fun but with popular acceptance.

### Standard Performance Evaluation Corporation

A non profit consortium developing industry standard benchmarks.

Provides a set of benchmark suites for different systems and workloads:

- CPU – SPEC CPU2017 ...
- Power – SPECpower ssj2008, SERT ...
- Graphics – SPECviewperf 13, SPECwpc, SPECCapc ...
- Computational – SPEC ACCEL, SPEC MPI2007, SPEC OMP2012 ...
- Java – SPECjvm2008, SPECjEnterprise2018, SPECjbb2015 ...
- Cloud – SPECvirt sc2013, SPEC Cloud IaaS 2018 ...

More information on <http://www.spec.org>.

### SPEC CPU Benchmarks

Reporting combined performance of multiple benchmarks.

#### Characteristics

- Set of (about 40) diverse benchmark tasks (compilation, compression, rendering ...)
- Run each benchmark program, measure execution time.
- Provide geometric mean of normalised benchmark execution times.

Benchmark metric comments:

- Geometric mean perhaps a sensitivity compromise.
- Not linear with program execution time.
- Not always reliable.
- Not very intuitive.
- Weights unclear.

### SPEC CPU Benchmarks

#### Reliability ?

- Good for individual benchmarks, but these not always of interest.
- For general applications, low level benchmarks (SPECint, SPECfp) less reliable than application benchmarks (SPECjbb, SPECjvm).

#### Independence ?

- Vendors are known to optimise for SPEC benchmarks.

- Partial solution is use of base and peak profiles.
  - Base compiles all benchmarks with the same flags.
  - Peak permits benchmark specific flags and feedback directed optimization.
- However, developers should not include optimizations that are unlikely to improve real applications.

## SPEC JBB Benchmarks

Reporting transaction rate of a model application.

### Characteristics

- A model application of a supermarket chain backend.
  - Customers buy in markets.
  - Markets order from suppliers.
  - Headquarters perform data mining.
- Multiple deployment models (local and distributed).
- Gradually increase workload and look at transaction processing.
  - Report critical-jOPS as throughput under response time constraints.
  - Report max-jOPS as peak throughput with correctness constraints.

Benchmark metric comments:

- Easily related to practical performance.
- Response time constraints sensitive to disruptions.
- Low resolution due to coarse workload steps in implementation.

## Transaction Processing Performance Council

A non profit consortium developing standard data processing benchmarks.

Provides a set of benchmark suites for different systems and workloads:

- TPC-C – order entry system
- TPC-DI – data transformation (ETL)
- TPC-DS – decision support system for retail supplier
- TPC-E – financial brokerage system
- TPC-H – decision support system for product distribution industry
- TPC-VMS – extending benchmarks to virtualized environments
- TPCx-BB – big data benchmark using Hadoop queries
- TPCx-HS – big data benchmark using Hadoop filesystem
- ...

More information on <http://www.tpc.org>.

TPC-C Results Browse the TPC-C results at <http://www.tpc.org/tpcc/default5.asp>. Examine the full disclosure reports to see how the relationship between price and performance is documented. Do not forget to enable historical results :-) ...