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Software testing

• Purpose
  ▪ Checking whether a given program satisfies certain requirements and expectations about its behavior

• Basic idea
  ▪ Pick specific inputs (a set of values)
  ▪ Run the program for each input
  ▪ Inspect the output and final state

• Shows only presence of errors
  ▪ You can try just few selected input values
Terminology

- **Test case**
  - Checks single requirement on the program behavior
  - Defines test input and expected output (final state)

- **Test suite**
  - Collection of related test cases

- **Fixture**
  - Common environment for test cases in a given suite
When to run tests

- Development
  1) Write code and some tests
  2) Run all tests and find bugs
  3) Fix bugs detected by tests
  4) Go to step 1 until deadline

- Regressions
  - Execute all passed tests after every modification
    - bug fix, refactoring, new unrelated feature, optimization
  - Goal: check whether everything still works then
Testing on different levels

- **Unit testing**
  - Small components (method, class)
  - Automatic easily repeatable tests
  - Provides clear answer (pass or fail)

- **Integration testing**
  - Checking interaction between components

- **System testing**
  - Whole system in a target environment
  - Requirements specified by customers
Unit testing

- Developers write code that
  - Specifies test inputs and required properties
  - Checks whether all tests successfully passed
    - Comparing expected outputs (and program state) with actual outputs

- Frameworks
  - JUnit, PyUnit, CPPUnit, NUnit, xUnit, MSTest, ...
JUnit

- Unit testing framework for Java
  - https://github.com/junit-team/junit/wiki
  - http://junit.org/junit5/

- Key features
  - Test cases are normal Java methods
  - Test suites are normal Java classes
  - Results analyzed in an automated way

- Versions
  - JUnit 3.8.x: fixed method names, reflection
  - JUnit 4.x/5: annotations
import java.util.*;
import org.junit.Test;
import static org.junit.Assert.*;

public class TestArrayList {
  @Test
  public void add() {
    List al = new ArrayList();
    int origSz = al.size();
    al.add("abc");
    int newSz = al.size();
    assertEquals("new != orig+1", origSz+1, newSz);
    assertTrue(al.contains("abc"));
  }
}
Assert statements

- public static void assertXY ([message], ...)
- assertEquals(T expected, T actual)
- assertArrayEquals(T[] expected, T[] actual)
- assertSame(Object expected, Object actual)
- assertTrue(boolean condition)
- assertFalse(boolean condition)
- assertNotNull(Object obj)
- assertNot_Null(Object obj)
- fail([String message])
Running tests

- Command line
  ```java
  java -cp lib/junit-4.11.jar:<dir with tests>
  org.junit.runner.JUnitCore <test class name>
  ```

- Ant
  ```xml
  <target name="run.tests" depends="build.tests">
    <junit haltonfailure="no">
      <formatter type="brief" usefile="false"/>
      <classpath refid="cp.run.tests"/>
      <batchtest>
        <fileset dir="${build.dir}">
          <include name="**/*Test*.class"/>
        </fileset>
      </batchtest>
    </junit>
  </target>
  ```
What you should test

- Method contracts (API)
- All branches in the code
- All control-flow paths
- Special (corner) cases
  - “off by one”, bad inputs

- Regressions
  - Inputs triggering previously discovered bugs
Task 1

- Write unit tests for `java.util.ArrayList`
  - Selected methods: `add(o)`, `get(i)`, `remove(i)`, `remove(o)`, `clear()`, `size()`, `contains(o)`

- Try different assert statements

- Create also some failing tests
  - Inspect output of JUnit to see how it typically looks

- JUnit library
  - [JUnit](http://d3s.mff.cuni.cz/files/teaching/nswi154/junit-4.11.jar)
  - [Hamcrest](http://d3s.mff.cuni.cz/files/teaching/nswi154/hamcrest-core-1.3.jar)

- C#/.NET variant
  - `ArrayList` from the namespace `System.Collections`
  - `List<T>` from `System.Collections.Generic`
Fixture

- Goal: prepare objects in a known state
  - Set up a fixed environment for each test case

- Reset before each test case ➔ isolated tests

- Initialization
  - @Before
  - @BeforeClass

- Clean-up
  - @After
  - @AfterClass
import org.junit.*;

public class TestArrayList {
  private List al;

  @Before
  public void setUp() {
    al = new ArrayList();
    al.add("abc");
  }

  @After
  public void tearDown() {
    al = null;
  }

  @Test
  public void add() { ... }
}
Expected exceptions

```java
@Test(expected=MyEx.class)
public void testSomething() {
    doSomeOperationThatThrowsException();
}
```
Task 2

• Extend your tests for ArrayList

• Define common fixtures
  ▪ Extract duplicate initialization code

• Test against expected exceptions
  ▪ get(i): IndexOutOfBoundsException
Recommended practice

- Place tests in the same package as target classes
  - Directory layout
    
    src/main/\textit{cz/cuni/mff/myapp/MyClass.java}
    src/tests/\textit{cz/cuni/mff/myapp/TestMyClass.java}

- Define single assertion in each test method
  - JUnit reports only the first failed assert in a test case
  - Multiple assertions $\Rightarrow$ some failures possibly missed
  - Drawback: you need to write/produce lot more code
Parameterized tests

```java
@RunWith(Parameterized.class)
public class TestSquareRoot {

    @Parameters
    public static Collection<Object[]> data() {
        return Arrays.asList(new Object[][]{{1, 1}, {4, 2}});
    }

    private int valInput;
    private int expOutput;

    public TestSquareRoot(int i, int e) {
        valInput = i;
        expOutput = e;
    }

    @Test
    public void test() {
        assertEquals(expOutput, Math.sqrt(valInput));
    }
}
```
<target name="test">
  <junit fork="on">
    <formatter type="xml" />
    <classpath refid="...">
      <batchtest>
        ...
      </batchtest>
    </classpath>
  </junit>
</target>

<target name="report">
  <mkdir dir="reports"/>
  <junitreport todir="./reports">
    <fileset dir=".">
      <include name="TEST-*\.xml"/>
    </fileset>
    <report format="frames" todir="./reports/html"/>
  </junitreport>
</target>
Task 3

- Use some parameterized tests
- Try reports in HTML (with Ant)
Advanced features of JUnit

- Matchers
  - `assertTrue`
- Assumptions
- Rules
  - `TemporaryFolder`
  - `ErrorCollector`
- Categories

- Further information
  - [https://github.com/junit-team/junit/wiki](https://github.com/junit-team/junit/wiki)
JUnit 5 – new features

- Framework decomposed into several modules
- Distributed through Maven central repository

- User guide
  - [https://junit.org/junit5/docs/current/user-guide/](https://junit.org/junit5/docs/current/user-guide/)

- New syntax of annotations
  - @Before vs @BeforeEach, @After vs @AfterEach
  - @BeforeClass vs @BeforeAll, @AfterAll

- New modern API
  - Classes and interfaces => different imports
  - Named assertions, grouping via assertAll
  - Syntax for parameterized tests (data source)
Testing methods

• Black-box testing
  ▪ Zero knowledge about the implementation (no access)
  ▪ Tests based only on specification and interfaces (API)
  ▪ Checking outputs against expectations for input values

• White-box testing
  ▪ Full knowledge of the implementation (access to code)
  ▪ Tester can modify the system a little bit for easy testing

• Grey-box testing
  ▪ Tester knows the system (code), but cannot modify it
Dependencies among objects

- Units typically have dependencies
  - Very hard to test such units in full isolation
  - Approach: complex fixtures and test cases
  - Example
    ```java
    @Before
    public void setUp() {
        java.sql.Connection db = ... // complex init
        PersistenceMngr pm = new MyPersistenceMngr(db);
    }
    ```

- Possible solutions
  - dummy objects, fake, stubs, mock objects
Dependencies among objects

- Dummy objects
  - Passed around but never used (e.g., parameter list)

- Fake
  - Working simpler implementation (e.g., in-memory DB)

- Stub
  - “empty” implementation with predefined responses to method calls

- Mock object
  - Stub that also checks whether it is used correctly by the object under test ➔ “behavior verification”
  - Frameworks: EasyMock, Mockito, Rhino Mocks, Moq
Concurrency

- Testing does not work for concurrency
  - Programs with multiple threads

- Huge number of thread schedules
- Non-deterministic behavior
- Errors are hard to reproduce
Unit testing for Windows/.NET

- MSTest (Visual Studio)
  - Annotations: [TestClass], [TestMethod]
  - Basic assertion statements
    - `Assert.AreEqual(Object, Object, String)`
    - `IsTrue, IsNotNull, IsInstanceOfType, Fail, ...`
  - More advanced: `StringAssert`, `CollectionAssert`

- Other frameworks
  - xUnit.net: [http://xunit.github.io/](http://xunit.github.io/)
Automation

- Generating tests with dynamic symbolic analysis
  - Manual writing of tests is very tedious

- Fuzzing techniques and tools
  - Search for inputs that may trigger some errors
  - SAGE & DART
    - Information and links: [https://patricegodefroid.github.io/](https://patricegodefroid.github.io/)
    - JDart: [https://github.com/psycopaths/jdart](https://github.com/psycopaths/jdart)
  - Useful for security bugs (critically important, hard-to-find)
Related courses

- More general information about testing
  - NTIN070: Testování software (ZS)

- But you can do better than simple unit testing ...
  - NSWI126: Pokročilé nástroje pro vývoj a monitorování software (LS)

- ... and you can even model, analyze, and verify program behavior
  - NSWI101: Modely a verifikace chování systémů (ZS)
  - NSWI132: Analýza programů a verifikace kódu (LS)
Links

- JUnit
  - https://github.com/junit-team/junit/wiki
  - http://junit.org/junit5/

- MSTest

- NUnit
  - http://www.nunit.org
  - https://github.com/nunit/docs/wiki/NUnit-Documentation

- CPPUnit
  - http://sourceforge.net/projects/cppunit

- Catch2
  - https://github.com/catchorg/Catch2

- Google Test
  - https://github.com/google/googletest
Homework

- Assignment
  - [Link](http://d3s.mff.cuni.cz/files/teaching/nswi154/ukoly/)

- Deadline
  - 24.11.2020 / 25.11.2020

- Homework targets Java and JUnit
  - Alternative 1: C# and suitable framework
    - They use same concepts but little bit different syntax
    - Write similar test cases for the corresponding classes from the .NET base class library (e.g., SortedDictionary)
  - Alternative 2: In fact, any other language with support for unit testing can be used
    - For example: C++, Python, Scala