

Advanced Operating Systems Summer Semester 2023/2024

Martin Děcký



File Systems





Traditional

- **Examples:** ext4, XFS, NTFS, UFS (latest variants), BFS, JFS2, etc.
- Universal set of features
- Distinction between directory entries and i-nodes
- On-disk layout affected by rotational media and traditional partitioning
- Typically use of somewhat sophisticated data structures
- Typically larger constant overhead
 - Not usable for small media
- Reliability via journaling of changes
 - Soft updates as an alternative



Simpler traditional

- **Examples:** FAT, exFAT, etc.
 - Historical examples (with some advanced features): HPFS, HFS
- Somewhat limited set of features
 - Typically missing permissions, ownership and other metadata, limited directory entry types, limited file names, limited file sizes, size of some data structures fixed, etc.
- Frequently no distinction between directory entries and i-nodes
- On-disk layout could be affected by slow / removable rotational media
- Typically not so sophisticated data structures
- Limited reliability



Optical

- Examples: ISO 9660, UDF
- Compact, continuous structures to minimize seeking
 - Path tables, directories, files
- Additional sessions referencing previous sessions
 - Keeping / adding / removing files
 - Wear leveling and block remapping for rewritable media
 - As opposed to hardware abstractions (e.g. Mount Rainier)
- Hybrid media



Log-structured

- **Examples:** JFFS2, NILFS2, YAFFS, UBIFS, F2FS
- Idea: Instead of keeping a journal for consistency, why not use the journal as the data storage?
- Suits well zoned media (flash, SMR)
 - Block subdivision and GC more efficient than basic appending
- Stale data can be accessed as snapshots (versions)
- Inherently always consistent
- Initial scan optimizations (persistent indexes)



Copy-on-write

- **Examples:** ZFS, btrfs, HAMMER2, APFS, ReFS
- Idea: Flexible on-disk layout, but no overwrites
- Stale data can be accessed as snapshots (versions)
- Multiple mountable roots
- Other advanced features (not strictly specific to COW)
 - Data checksums (separately stored, Merkle tree), data redundancy, deduplication, integration with logical volume management, hierarchical caching, wandering intent logs, replication
- Inherently always consistent
- Initial scan issues avoided, but GC still needed (also serves as defragmentation)



Read-only

- **Examples:** SquashFS, cramfs, EROFS, AXFS
- Efficient storage of seed images (boot images, container images, thin provisioning, etc.)
 - Often coupled with union mounts for read/write support
- Low overhead, no fragmentation, compression
- Easy caching, execute-in-place (adaptive compression)



Shared-disk

- **Examples:** CXFS, GPFS, GFS2, OCFS, HAMMER2
- Support for underlying block modifications from independent sources
 - Via iSCSI, ATA over Ethernet, Fibre Channel, InfiniBand, NVMe over fabric
- In between regular file systems and network file systems
- Distributed lock manager vs. metadata broker



File System Curiosities





Traditional File Systems with Bonuses

AdvFS, NSS

- Fairly traditional file systems, but supporting multiple block devices
- HFS+
 - Hard links to directories

RaiserFS

- Tail packing (sub-allocation of blocks)



Traditional File Systems with Bonuses

• NTFS

- Reparse points, file system filters
- Caching i-node size in directory entry (non-consistent among hard links)
- Hard links for 8.3 file names
- Per-directory case sensitivity
 - Case insensitivity is not trivial [1][2]
- Transactional NTFS
 - Integrated with Kernel Transaction Manager
 - Transaction-Safe FAT



Traditional File Systems with Bonuses

• XFS

- Allocation groups (concurrency)
- Multiple devices, COW, snapshots, deduplication, striping
 - Controlled by Stratis
- ext4
 - Journal checksums
- StegFS
 - Steganographic extension to ext2
 - Undetectable, hidden layer of files on a regular file system



Less Traditional File Systems

• btrfs

- Integrated support for union mounting (read-only seeding)
- Linear Tape File System (LTFS)
- NOVA
 - Targeting byte-addressable persistent memory (NVRAM)
 - Log structured for metadata per i-node (concurrency)
 - Log is append-only, but non-continuous (linked list)
 - Replication and checksums
 - Data blocks managed as copy-on-write
 - Global journaling for reliability of non-atomic operations

SPECIFYING FILE PATHS







Source: DALL·E 3 via ChatGPT 4



Other File Systems Remarks

Resource forks, extended attributes

- Multiple streams associated with a single file

Forward and backward compatibility

- Feature sets, feature bitmaps
- Allowed and required features
- File system semantics are not trivial [3]
- Path lengths, valid path characters
- Path separator
 - The history of slash / backslash in complicated [4][5]



References

[1] https://lwn.net/Articles/784041/

[2] https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=yVIEZKiMGJU

[3] https://danluu.com/deconstruct-files/

[4] https://www.os2museum.com/wp/why-does-windows-really-use-backslash-as-path-separator/

[5] https://learn.microsoft.com/en-us/archive/blogs/larryosterman/why-is-the-dos-path-character



Thank you! Questions?