

Advanced Operating Systems Summer Semester 2024/2025

Martin Děcký



Programming Languages and Techniques





Levels of Abstraction





Operating Systems Specifics

• Operating system as a whole

- In principle, a piece of software like any other
 - Potentially complex software architecture
 - Monolithic (unikernel), layered/stacked (monolithic kernel), component-based (microkernel), etc.
- Less usual properties
 - Almost always an open-ended platform
 - Application Programming Interface (API)
 - Component life cycle management at run time
 - Potentially recursive (virtual machines)
 - Different criticality and/or privilege levels
 - Multiple address spaces
- Application Binary Interface (ABI)







Operating Systems Specifics

Operating system kernel

- In principle, a program like any other
 - In the "steady state", mostly following data-driven and event-driven model
 - Inputs, outputs, events, etc.
- Less usual properties
 - Self-supporting its own run time environment
 - Chicken-and-egg problem especially during bootstrap
 - Peculiar shutdown
- Direct interaction with hardware
- Privileged mode (little to no "safety net" for errors)
 - Access into multiple address spaces



Requirements on the Programming Language

• Versatility as a "platform builder"

- Interfacing with hardware and firmware
 - No limitations regarding the means of the communication (memory access patterns, special instructions, etc.)
- Code self-modification
- ABI malleability
- Modularity

No excessive baggage

- No constructs that just "stand in the way"
- No complex external run time that would require its own major support



Requirements on the Programming Language

Predictability

- Straightforward mapping between language constructs and machine code
 - Shallow abstractions
 - Not too many levels of abstraction
- Also in the time domain
 - Especially for real time use cases
- Safety & security
 - Ideally fundamental or at least reasonably achievable





Logo by DALL·E 3 via ChatGPT 4o



Language of symbolic machine code instructions

swap:

movslq %esi, %rsi leaq (%rdi, %rsi, 4), %rdx leaq 4(%rdi, %rsi, 4), %rax movl (%rdx), %ecx movl (%rax), %esi movl %esi, (%rdx) movl %ecx, (%rax) retq

```
swap:
    sll $a1, $a1, 2
    addu $a1, $a1, $a0
    lw $v0, 0($a1)
    lw $v1, 4($a1)
    sw $v1, 0($a1)
    sw $v0, 4($a1)
    jr $ra
```





Language of symbolic machine code instructions





Maximal versatility, predictability and almost no baggage

- Everything that a machine code can express can assembler express
 - Unknown instructions can be "typed in" as arbitrary bytes
- Modularity mechanisms same as in C
 - External symbols and linking
- Platform-specific
- Minimal abstractions
- ABI (almost) completely customizable and optimizable



• Specific assembler implementations might provide a relatively rich programming features

- Symbolic labels for memory locations
 - Usable as branch targets, variables, values in expressions, etc.
- Synthetic instructions
- Directives
 - Compiler configuration
 - Instruction and data modifiers
 - Modular compilation (sections, external labels, etc.)
- Constants and (compile-time) expressions
- Subroutines, macros (with compile-time control flow)
- Comments



Modularity





Modularity





• Limitations

- Typically single-pass compilation
 - Inability to modify already generated output
 - Output addresses within a module can only increment
 - Worked around by outputting into different sections
- Undefined symbolic addresses are considered external (to be filled in by the linker)
 - Potentially pessimistic code due to unknown address sizes



Drawbacks

- Very narrow portability
 - Not just ISA-specific, but typically ISA variant-specific, CPU model-specific, etc.
- Verbosity
 - Especially on RISC architectures
- Extremely poor maintainability
 - In principle, there could be code inspection, refactoring, completion, etc.
 - Writing code in assembly is a niche nowadays
 - Some advanced features are integrated in reverse engineering tools, but hardly in modern IDEs
- Poor performance of larger pieces of code
 - On modern superscalar CPUs, humans outperform optimizing compilers only on specific small and tight routines (e.g. direct hardware manipulation, memory copying, etc.)



Assembly Nowadays

- Demoscene (e.g. 256 B, 4 KiB demos)
- Hobbyists (e.g. MenuetOS, KolibriOS)
- Routines requiring tight hardware control
 - Firmware DRAM initialization (code running in CPU cache only)
 - Bootstrap code, context & mode switching routines (no usable stack)
 - Kernel memory copying between address spaces
 - Fixups in case of a page fault require stack usage discipline
 - Code resilient to timing side channels
 - Entry parts of interrupt handling, virtualization, etc.
- Substitution for missing compiler intrinsics (inline assembly)
 - Atomics and synchronization
 - Tight inner loops, SIMD routines



. XONIX FLOOD-IT

5 SUDOKU GOMOKU KOSILKA 🔹 Menu 🚺

9

97

A There is a problem with MTRR configuration. Performance can be low **\$** • DOCPACK ţţ. <u>}-</u> ME SHELL HEXEDIT SYSPANEL

C

FLAPPY-BIRD CLICKS SNAKE <u>.</u> SEAWAR

En 11:35







• Originally designed for implementing Unix utilities

- Later used to reimplement the Unix kernel

• A standalone C program requires very little run time support

- Memory for the code
- Memory for the static data (global variables)
- Memory for the stack
- Well-defined entry context
 - Instruction pointer, stack pointer and a few other platform-specific registers
- In the freestanding environment, the existence of the standard C library in not assumed



- Function arguments passed as values (generally on the stack or in registers)
- Single lexical scope of functions
- Pointer arithmetic, memory model (originally quite rudimentary)
- Ad hoc run-time polymorphism
- Basic modularity, conditional compilation and meta-programming
- Abstract machine
 - Language constructs and operations
 - Static (but weakly enforced) type system
 - Maps in a straightforward way to most ISAs while providing solid portability
 - Caution: Definitively not a 1:1 mapping



Used to be synonymous for "the system programming language"

- Almost universally adopted in 1980s and early 1990s for system-level software (firmware, kernels, core OS components and libraries)
 - Gradually replaced assembler
- One of the most popular programming languages in general
 - Actually used for the majority of non-system applications
 - Despite generally poor support for strings, generic data types, etc.
 - Almost universal (theoretical) portability
- Not without adverse effects
 - Arguably a major cause of the dire state of safety and security of many software stacks



• Problematic aspects

- C preprocessor
 - Header inclusion is a poor replacement for proper module support
 - Boilerplate include guards
 - Conditional compilation and macro expansion does not understand or respect the language syntax
 - Overuse of macros often leads to a "DSL from hell"
- Obsoleted features / Should be obsoleted features
 - Functions without a declaration assume to have a variadic argument list and the int return type
 - Strange operator precedence (e.g. bitwise operators vs. comparison)
 - Bitfields with implementation-specific memory layout
- Type safety of variadic functions
- Misunderstanding of the volatile modifier (not usable as universal atomic)



CC BY-SA 4.0 by Martin Děcký, with the assistance of DALL-E 3 via ChatGPT 40



Undefined behavior

- Caution: Not "unspecified" or "implementation defined" behavior
 - Abstract machine in an unknown state \rightarrow Entire program behavior undefined
 - Compiler is allowed to assume that well-formed code does not contain undefined behavior
 - Could be used to drive the compiler optimization (assume macro)
- Examples
 - Accessing an uninitialized variable
 - Division by zero (or other mathematically undefined operation)
 - Signed integer overflow
 - Bitwise shifts larger than the type bit width (or negative)
 - Modifying an object between two sequence points more than once



- Data race
- Not returning a value from a non-void function
- Spatial memory safety violation
 - Out of bounds memory accesses
 - Dereferencing a NULL pointer
 - Modifying a string literal or constant object
- Temporal memory safety violation
 - Accessing local variables outside their scope
 - Use-after-free, double free
- Strict aliasing violation
- Alignment violation
- Infinite loop without a side-effect
 - Not just empty loop



Undefined behavior

```
unsigned int add_inc(unsigned int *a, unsigned int *b)
{
    return (*a)++ + (*b)++;
}
```



```
• Undefined behavior
```

```
typedef struct {
   unsigned int uid;
} user t;
int elevate(void)
   user_t *user = get_privileged_used();
   unsigned int uid = user->uid;
   if (user == NULL)
       return -EINVAL;
   grant_access(uid);
   return 0;
}
```



• Undefined behavior

```
#define SIZE 42
unsigned int data[SIZE];
bool present(unsigned int value)
{
   for (unsigned int i = 0; i <= SIZE; i++) {
      if (data[i] == value)
          return true;
      }
    return false;
}</pre>
```



Response to C Shortcommings

- Coding guidelines & standards
 - MISRA C
 - Motor Industry Software Reliability Association
 - De facto requirement for safety certifications
 - Set of mandatory, required and advisory guidelines
 - Each deviation from a required guideline must be documented with a rationale
 - Mixes genuinely useful rules with some rather questionable
 - Rule 15.5: A function should have a single point of exit at the end
 - Very hard to be applied to a dynamic operating system
 - Rule 17.2: Functions shall not call themselves, either directly or indirectly
 - Rule 21.3: The memory allocation and deallocation functions shall not be used



Response to C Shortcommings

- Coding guidelines & standards
 - CERT C
 - Computer Emergency Response Team Coordination Center (CERT/CC) at Software Engineering Institute (SEI)
 - https://wiki.sei.cmu.edu/confluence/display/c
 - Broader target than MISRA C
 - Some focus on security
 - Classification of rules
 - Severity, likelihood, remediation cost, priority, etc.
 - Assessment of detection tools







• Originally an object-oriented extension of C ("C with Classes")

- Easy interoparability with C (although not a strict superset)

• Higher-level abstractions for existing C constructs

- Pointers \rightarrow References
- Macros \rightarrow Templates, constant expressions
- Boolean as integer \rightarrow Dedicated boolean type
- Error return values \rightarrow Exceptions
- Manual encapsulation & polymorphism \rightarrow Classes, overloading, default arguments
- Function pointers \rightarrow Lambda expressions
- Dynamic memory management integrated into the language
- Stricter type system, concepts



Goal of providing abstractions at reasonable run-time cost

Zero cost if the abstraction is not actually used

Language aspects considered problematic for system-level use

- Unpredictable cost of abstractions (memory, time)
 - The freestanding mode still assumes the presence of the run-time library and a minimal standard library
 - Required for static constructors and destructors
 - Run-time type identification has memory overhead even if not used
 - Required for exceptions, typeid, dynamic_cast
 - Stack unwinding and dynamic memory allocation on throwing exceptions
 - STL considered bloated
- Disabling or avoiding those aspects is possible by many compilers, but the resulting language might be non-conforming



Custom implementation of standard features

- Static constructors and destructors, deferred constructors
- Smart pointers (unique_ptr)
- Tagged dynamic casting (limited to downcasting)
- Type traits
- Containers
- Replacement of virtual methods (inheritance) by compile-time composition of alternatives

Useful system-level constructs

- Guarded objects
- Better type safety (e.g. type-safe integers)



P3S

Source: Matfyz memes that will make you ČVUT



Problematic aspects

- Templates are the new macros
 - Templates from hell
- Operator overloading as an elegant obfuscation
- Almost all C undefined behavior is still with us
 - Plus some more
 - delete[] on a single object, delete on an array
 - All sorts of class shenanigans (incorrect casting, calling methods before all base constructors, calling virtual methods from constructor)
 - Extending the std namespace
 - Infinite template recursion







• Goal: System-level language akin to C, but designed in 2010s

- Key requirements
 - Relative simplicity (no class inheritance, no template meta-programming)
 - Straightforward mapping to current hardware (two's complement, fixed byte size, etc.)
 - Lean run time (but good support for Unicode strings)
 - Explicit (but maintainable) resource and memory management
- Avoiding the major shortcomings of C / C++
 - No surprising undefined behavior
 - Compile-time memory, type and concurrency safety
- Certainly not the first attempt on "modern C"
 - D, Nim, Go, V, etc.
- Novel approach: Two languages in one (safe + unsafe)



- Curly-bracket syntax with familiar control flow keywords and operators
- Fixed-sized integer and float types
- Unicode character and static strings built-in types
- Tuple built-in type, bottom/never type (no-return functions)
- Non-null references and raw (unsafe) pointers
- Structures and tagged/disjoint unions with methods
 - Memory layout is not predefined
- Pattern matching
- Ranges
- Statements as expressions (implicit function return)



- Function argument type polymorphism
- Ad hoc type polymorphism using traits
- Immutable variables by default, type inference
- Mandatory initialization
- Option type (nullable) and Return type (error handling) as library constructs
- Memory and data race safety via compile-type lifetime tracking
 - Every valid object has exactly one owner
 - References exist only for valid objects
 - A single mutable reference exists only if no immutable references exist
 - Destructors for resource management



• Unsafe mode

- For implementing system-level features
 - Violating ownership rules
 - Dereferencing raw pointers
 - Type casting (punning)
 - Volatile memory access
 - Intrinsics, inline assembly
- Assumptions of the safe mode hold after the unsafe block ends
 - Otherwise it is undefined behavior
- Other cases of undefined behavior
 - Typically diagnosed with a run-time panic



• Macros

- Declarative macros
 - Expansion using pattern matching
 - Similar to other macro languages, but core language concept
- Procedural macros
 - Compile-time modification of the input tokens
 - Code generation
- Modularity and package management
- Language features versioning
 - Still, ongoing language development and the approach to the supply chain can be problematic
- C interoperability using bindgen
- no-std environment
 - Still needs some unstable/custom run time parts (e.g. alloc)
 - Practically on a similar level as C++



Other Systems Languages

• Forth

- OpenBoot, Open Firmware
- C#, Spec#, Sing#, M#
 - Singularity, Midori
- Pascal, Modula(-2), Oberon
 - Legacy Apple OSes, Oberon
- Ada, SPARK
 - Muen
- (BBC) Basic
 - Legacy RISC OS
- Smalltalk, Objective-C
- Zig, Jakt, Hare, V



Thank you! Questions?