A Locality Model for the Real-Time Specification for Java

Abdul Haseeb Malik Andy Wellings Yang Chang





JTRES 2010 19-21 August, Prague, Czech Republic

Introduction

- Shift to multiprocessors
- UMA SMPs
 - Single address space
 - Cache coherence
 - Uniform memory access
- NUMA systems
 - Single address space
 - Global or partial cache coherence
 - Non-uniform memory access







Problem

- Java applications experience unpredictable delays due to a large number of remote accesses.
- Remote accesses take considerably longer than local accesses.
- The application cannot differentiate between local and remote accesses because
 - NUMA architecture is hidden from the application.
 - Operating system manages allocation policies.
 - Application is unaware of these allocation policies.



Related Work

- High performance computing e.g. X10, Fortress, Chapel etc.
 - Representation of the architecture.
 - Grouping of tasks and objects.
 - Programmers can explicitly allocate objects on specific memory areas.



Existing Support

- 1. AffinitySet class
 - Threads can be allocated on specific processors.
- 2. Physical memory framework
 - Can be used to create physical memory areas on specific nodes.
- Both can be used to allocate threads and objects individually on desired nodes.



The Locality Model

Introduces new abstractions which

- Provide visibility into the system architecture.
- Threads and objects grouped together.
- Groups allocated
 - Statically by the programmer
 - Dynamically at runtime





The Locality Model

- Locations: collection of processors, memory banks and devices.
 - Locale: logical representation of SMP.
 - Neighbourhood: logical representation of cc-NUMA
 - RTJVMs mapped on neighbourhoods.

program.

- District: logical representation of NUMA.
- Execution site(ES): capable of executing an RTSJ





Execution Site

- Execution site created for more predictable behaviour.
- Has a heap, immortal
 memory and backing store for scoped memory areas.
- Factory methods to create threads.
- Factory methods to create scoped memory areas.

RTS

ExecutionSite

createJavaThread(logic:Runnable):Thread createRealTimeThread(...): RealtimeThread createNoHeapRealTimeThread(...): NoHeapRealtimeThread createMemoryArea(...): MemoryArea getHeap(): HeapPhysicalMemory getImmortal(): ImmortalPhysicalMemory getLocale():Locale

Creation and Mapping of Execution Sites

- Factory methods in the neighbourhood class
- Static mapping
 - forced by the input of the programmer.
 - application is not portable.
- Dynamic mapping
 - based on reservations.
 - resource requirements requested for each execution site in the form of *reservation parameters*.
 - mapped by the runtime based on the requirements of the execution site.



Prototype

- Prototype based on jRate over linux.
- Extensions made to the jRate runtime library.
 - memory areas in ES created using the NUMA API.
 - threads created inherit cpu affinity of the execution site.
- 16 processor cc-NUMA system based on AMD opteron.







Experiment: Sieve of Eratosthenes

- Highly parallel algorithm
 - 1 thread for each prime number.
 - For all prime numbers < 15000 ~ 1754 threads.
 - Experiment will measure execution times for these 1754 threads using the locality model.



11

Results

- In cases 2-4, 4 execution sites created
 - Case 1: Without using the locality model.
 - Case 2: 1 thread
 - Case 3: 8 threads
 - Case 4: 439 threads





THE UNIVERSITY of York

Results 2

 Execution sites dynamically created when load in the existing ES reaches N threads





Conclusion

- Locality model has been proposed which
 - Groups together threads and objects in execution sites.
 - Execution sites can be allocated statically or dynamically.
 - System architecture is visible to the application.
- Experiments show considerable better performance.



Future Work

- Tests based on real-time applications.
- Constrains on higher priority real-time threads to execution sites.
- Locality for method area.
- Extensions
 - execution of multiple RTJVMs
 - heterogeneous systems

