

Static Analysis: Pointers & Heap Structures

<http://d3s.mff.cuni.cz>



Pavel Parízek



CHARLES UNIVERSITY IN PRAGUE

faculty of mathematics and physics

Pointer analysis

- Goals
 - Determine possible targets objects for each pointer variable
 - Find possibly aliased program variables of a reference type (pointers)
- Very important for programs that use heap and objects
 - Mainstream languages: C, C++, Java, C#, Scala
 - Aspects: virtual methods (call graphs), aliasing

Example program

```
1: void main() {
2:     Customer c1 = loadCustomerData(1);
3:     Customer c2 = loadCustomerData(2);
4:     if (c2 == null) c2 = new Customer();
5:     Region r = new Region("Praha");
6:     c1.reg = r;
7:     c2.reg = r;
8:     c1.reg = new Region("Brno");
9:     List<Order> orders = c2.reg.getNewOrders();
10:    orders.process();
11: }

12: Customer loadCustomerData(int id) {
13:     Customer c = new Customer(id);
14:     return c;
15: }
```

Terminology

- Abstract heap object
 - Allocation site ($o := \text{new } C$)
 - Set of dynamic heap objects
- Points-to set
 - Set $pt(p)$ of abstract heap objects that the pointer variable p may point to during program execution

- Aliased variables

$$pt(p) \cap pt(r) \neq \emptyset$$

Points-to analysis

- Determines the points-to set $pt(p)$ for each pointer variable p in a given program
- Characteristics
 - Safe over-approximation
 - $x := y \rightarrow pt(y) \subseteq pt(x)$
- Algorithms
 - **Basic: exhaustive subset-based flow-insensitive context-insensitive (Andersen)**
 - Advanced: flow-sensitive, context-sensitive (few kinds), demand-driven, strong updates, ...
 - Trade-offs: **scalability versus precision**

Example: computing points-to sets



Q1: Find the points-to set for the variable c2.

Q2: Find all the aliased variables and fields.

Precision

- May-alias
 - Two variables may possibly refer to the same heap object at some point during execution
- Must-alias
 - Two variables must always refer to the same heap object at a specific program point

Modeling updates

- Weak update (may-alias)
 - Given operation on p may or may not be actually performed on any element of the set $pt(p)$
- Strong update (must-alias)
 - Operation performed on p and other variables provably aliased with p at a given point

Computing must-alias information

- Allocation sites
 - Fixed partitioning of the heap
 - Fixed name for a heap object
- Access path
 - Variable name followed by a possibly empty sequence of field names (dereferences)
 - Example: p , $p.f.g$, $q.f$
- Set of access paths
 - Dynamically changing name for abstract heap object

Tracking access paths

- Abstract heap object o
 - Tuple $\langle o, \text{set of access paths} \rangle$
- Processing statements
 - Current tuple (old): $\langle o, AP_{\text{old}} \rangle$
 - Object allocation: $v = \text{new } C$
New tuple: $\langle o, \{v\} \rangle$
 - Assignment: $v = e$
New tuple: $\langle o, AP_{\text{old}} \cup \{v.ap \mid e.ap \in AP_{\text{old}}\} \rangle$
 - Assignment: $v.f = e$
New tuple: $\langle o, AP_{\text{old}} \cup \{v.f.ap \mid e.ap \in AP_{\text{old}}\} \rangle$
 - Assignment: $v = \text{null}$
New tuple: $\langle o, AP_{\text{old}} \setminus \{v.ap \mid ap \in AP_{\text{old}}\} \rangle$

Applications

- Client analyses
 - Call graph construction
 - Escape analysis
 - Scope: method, thread

- Verification
 - Null pointer dereference
 - Static data race detection
 - Resource leaks detection

Null pointer dereference (NPA)

- Option 1: use classic data-flow analysis
- Option 2: use results of pointer analysis

NPA: data-flow analysis

- Analysis domain: list of pointer variables
- Facts: variables with possible `null` value
- Transfer functions: assignment (`null`, ...)
- Merge operator: set union (over-approx)

- Processing results
 - For each dereferencing statement check whether the results say that a given pointer may be `null`
 - Statements: field access, method call, array access

NPA: using pointer analysis

- Input
 - Results of the may point-to analysis
 - Specific dereference operation on v

- Empty points-to set $pt(v)$
 - ➔ possible null value

Call graph construction

- Goal: for each call site, find the set of possibly invoked methods
- Statement: $r = v.m(a_1, \dots, a_N)$
- Approaches
 - Class Hierarchy Analysis (CHA)
 - static type (class) of v and all possible subtypes
 - Using results of pointer analysis
 - dynamic types of abstract heap objects in $pt(v)$

Escape analysis

- Method scope
 - Goal: identify objects written to heap ($v.f = o$)
 - Purpose: local objects may be safely reclaimed
- Thread scope
 - Goal: identify possibly shared heap objects
 - shared object = reachable from multiple threads
 - Purpose: eliminating thread choices (POR)
 - Algorithm: escaping roots, transitive reachability

Static analysis in program verification

- Constructing abstraction
- Intermediate representation
- Program slicing
 - Find and remove statements irrelevant for the given property

Method summaries

- Purpose: scalable inter-procedural analysis
- Approach
 - Use available method summary for M
 - Ignore edges: call - entry, return - exit
- Example: side effects analysis
 - Field accesses on shared heap objects
 - Parameters escaped inside to the heap

Pointer analysis in WALA

- Heap graph
- Nodes
 - PointerKey: local variables, fields
 - InstanceKey: allocation sites
- Edges
 - points-to relation: PointerKey \rightarrow InstanceKey

Examples

- Source code
 - http://d3s.mff.cuni.cz/teaching/program_analysis_verification/files/pointers-examples.zip
- Collecting points-to sets
- Thread escape analysis
- Identify aliased variables

Advanced topics

- Shape analysis
- Separation logic

Shape analysis

- Goal
 - Determine possible structure (shape) of the heap
 - Find nodes to which the local variables may point
- Information
 - Sharing between heap structures
 - Cycles between nodes (pointers)
 - Unreachable heap nodes (objects)
- Applications: garbage collection, detecting errors

Shape analysis: how it works

- Representation (domain)
 - Possible shapes of heap data structures for each program point

- Abstraction (summarization)
 - Summary heap nodes and edges
 - Loss of precision (length, depth)

Separation logic

- Goal
 - Reasoning about low-level programs that use mutable heap data structures
- Extends Hoare logic (triples $\{P\} S \{Q\}$)
- Logic operator $*$ (“*separating conjunction*”)
 - $P * Q$ is true \rightarrow disjoint heap structures
- Supports local reasoning (modularity)

Tools

- TVLA
 - <http://www.cs.tau.ac.il/~tvla/>
- Predator
 - <http://www.fit.vutbr.cz/research/groups/verifit/tools/predator/>
- SLAyer
 - <https://github.com/Microsoft/SLAyer>
- jStar
 - <https://github.com/seplogic/jstar>
- Infer
 - <http://fbinfer.com/>, <https://github.com/facebook/infer>

Further reading

- M. Sridharan, S. Chandra, J. Dolby, S.J. Fink, and E. Yahav. **Alias Analysis for Object-Oriented Programs**. 2013
- R. Wilhelm, M. Sagiv, and T. Reps. **Shape Analysis**. CC 2000
- J.C. Reynolds. **Separation Logic: A Logic for Shared Mutable Data Structures**. LICS 2002